TOXICOLGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL EFFECTS OF SOME RECOMMENDED AND ALTERNATIVE COMPOUNDS ON COTTON LEAFWORM Spodoptera littoralis (BOISD) (LEPIDOPTERA:NOCTUIDE) IN COTTON FIELDS.



Said, A.A.¹; M.M. Kady¹; H.M.H. Al-Shannaf²; Salwa E. Negm¹ and M.A.S. Salama² 1 pesticide Department, Faculty of Agric, Mansoura, Univ. 2 Plant Protection Institute

Trotection institute

ABSTRACT

Field and laboratory experiments were carried out to evaluate the two insect growth (IGRs), lufenuron and teflubenzuron, antifeedant compoundindoxacab, miniral oil(Kz oil), compound Protecto, *Bacillus thuringinsis*(Bt)and Dursban, chlorpyrifos against the larvae of cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis*(Boisd.).Field experiment conducted during 2013 and 2014 seasons at KafrSakrregion, SharkyiaGovernorate, Egypt. Results revealed that, chlorpyrifos recorded highest initial reduction (89.38 and 88.39%), residual mean (88.52 and 87.72%) and annual mean (87.74 and 87.37%) on*Spodoptera littoralis* during the two successive seasons, respectively.

In regarding to the biochemical activities of treated larvae in laboratory the all tested compounds disrupted the testedactivities. The highest effect on the total soluble protein as specific activity (SA) of 40.57 mg/g,bwt recorded for 4th instar larvae treated with LC₅₀ concentration of chlorpyrifose and sampled after 3 days of treatment, while the highest reduction in relative activity (RA%) of - 54.15% recorded for larvae treated with LC₅₀ concentration of Betavant and sampled after 3 days also. The tested compounds at selected concentrations of LC_{25} and LC_{50} also disrupted GOT and GPT activities of treated larvae where the highest GOT as SA (2574.33 ± 30.4 u * 10^3 g.sbwt) recorded for larvae treated with LC₂₅ concentration and sampled after 3 days of treatment, while the highest relative activity RA% -43.36% was exhibited in case of after 7 days of treat.the larvae treated with chlorpyrifose and sampled. The highest effect on GPT as SA (711.33 \pm 5.2 u *10³ g. bwt) was recorded for larvae treated with Komatch at concentration of LC25 and sampled at 3rd days of treatment, while the highest relative activity % -64.05 recorded for larvae treated with LC 50 concentration of Betavant and sampled at 3rd day of treatment also. Inregarding to the effect of tested compounds on carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes; invertase, trehalase and amylase determined as µg glucose/min g.bwt/days. The highest values were 405.679±9.03, 222.33±7.26 and 123±3.31 recorded for larvae treated with LC25 of Kz oil, LC50 of Kz oil and LC25 of Betavant sampled at 3rd day of treatment for invertase, trehalase and amylase enzymes, respectively. On the other hand, the highest relative activity RA% of -0.53, -0.44 and -0.61 were recorded for larvae treated with LC_{50} of Nomult (at 3days of treatment), LC_{25} of Nomult (at 3rd day of treatment) and LC_{50} of Kz oil (at 7 days of treatment) that for invertase, trehalase and amylase, respectively.

Keywords: Spodopteralittoralis(Boisd.), Toxicity, Biochemical, IGRs, indoxacarb, mineral oil, Bacillus thuringnsis(Bt).

INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, Cotton leafworm, Spodopteralittorlis (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Nectuidae) is a serious lepidopteran pest of cotton through its different growth stages, where the larvae are heavily attacking cotton causing severe damage and consequently reduction in obtained vield,(Pluschkellet al., 1998and the Korratetal., 2012) .Tocontrol cotton leafworm, many compounds use from different pesticides groups, biopesticides, oils and plant extracts. The antifeedantcompound, indoxcarb (Betavant 5% EC) was effected the newly ecdysed 2nd and 4th instars larvae of S. littoralis and the LC_{50} , LC_{90} values, were 0.63 and 3.1 ppm for the 2^{nd} instar and 2.0 & 18.75 ppm for the 4th instar larvae. That mean the 2nd instar larvae was more susceptible to indoxacarb more than the 4th one(Al-Shannafet al. 2012). Al-Shannaf and Ammar (2011) stated that, the Radical(Avermectin)compound gave highest initial reductionpercentage againstS .littoralis and Helicoverpaarmigra followed by Dursban (chlorpyrifose), mixture of Consult (IGR) and Dursban only, where the lowest reduction percentage was recorded for Dipel DF(Bt). As results of Mohamed et al.(2006), the mineral oil Kapl-2 at rate of 1.5 and 0.75% showed low effect on S.littoralisin comparison by the insecticide, Actellic (pirimiphose methyl). In the same trend, the mineral oil, Kemesol95% used as

topical application reduced hemolymph fat body and total soluble protein of S. littoralis,(Khatter and Abuldahb,2010). The IGR compound, teflubenzoronaffected GOT enzymes activities and total soluble protein for S. littoralis larvae significantly, while its effect on GPT, was a significant reduction, (EL-Kordyetal., 1995) and Desuky, et al., 2005). The insecticide Betavant (Indoxacarb) caused slightly increasing in total protein content of S. littoralis 2nd instar larvae by 8.79%, while it decreased total soluble protein by24.9% in 4th instar and disrupted carbohydrate enzymes, as results of Gmail et al. (2011). Also, the bacterial insectbiocide B.thuringensis and Kz oil reduced the total protein content of treated S. littoralis(Zidanet al., 1996).

This work aimed to study the toxic (as field trials) and physiological (as laboratory trials) effects of some recommended and alternative compounds, i.e, Komatch,Nomult, Betavant, Kz oil, Protecto and Dursban against cotton leafworm, *S. littoralis*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tested Compound:

1. Insect growth regulators (IGRs):

• Komatch, Lufenuron5% EC used at rate of 160 cm/feddan

- Nomult,Teflubenzuron 5% EC used at rate of 160 cm/feddan.
- 2. Antifeedant compound: Betavant, indoxacarb 14.3% SC used at rate of 110cm/feddan.
- 3. Meniraloil, Kz oil 95% EC used at rate of 1500 ml/100 litter water.
- 4. BactarialCompound: Protecto, *Bacillus thurinigiensis*9.4% WPat rateof300gm/feddan
- 5. Organphosphorus insecticide:Dursban, chlorpyrifos 48% E.C. used at rate 1000 ml/ Feddan.

Field trials:

Field experiments were carried out at KafrSakrregion, SharkyiaGovernorate, Egypt during two consecutive cotton growing seasons of 2013and2014.The experimentarea of two faddan was divided into 6 treatments and one as control (and each replicated three times). The experiment area was cultivated with the Egyptian cotton variety, Giza 86.Cotton plants treated once with each compound at 24th and 21th June during the considered seasons,

respectively. Thesamples of 100 plants/ replicate was inspected in field and 1^{st} , 2^{rd} , 3^{rd} and 4^{th} instars larvae were counted and recorded, Just before treatment and after 1,7 and 10days for Dursban treatment,while it examined at 3,7and10 dyes for each of Komatch, Nomult,Betavant, Kzoil and Protecto. The reduction percentages of cotton leafworm larvae were calculated use equation of Henderson and Tilton (1955).

1-Insect Rearing:

Cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis*larvae were obtained from a culture reared in cotton leafworm laboratory at Plant Protection Research Institute Sharkia branchwithout exposure history to insecticide. Larvae were reared on fresh castorbean leaves, *Ricinuscomnuis* L.All laboratory trials were kept under laboratory condition of $27\pm 2C^{\circ}$ and RH% $70\pm 5\%$.

2. Laboratory treatment:

10 individuals The cotton leafworm 4th instar larvae were put in glass Jar, replicated 4 time for each treatment and labeled as treatments and control.

Table (1): LC₅₀ and LC₂₅ values of the tested compounds

Compound	Komatch*	Nomult*	Betavant*	Kzoil*	Protecto**	Dursban*	Control*
LC ₂₅	2.52	1.17	0.16	5521.01	82.24	6.66	0.00
LC ₅₀	12.08	15.89	1.41	27734.36	303.42	31.24	0.00

* Concentration in ppm ** Concentration as international unit

Table (1) cleared that, the LC_{25} and LC_{50} concentrations were prepared as water solution and the castor bean leaves were cleaned and dipped in each separately. The dipped castor bean leaves were left for 30 min. to complete dryness on table under laboratory conditions. After that, the treated leaves of each concentration of each compound delivered to the 4th instar larvae in glass jars as well as the leaves dipped in water only as control. The larvae were fed on treated castor leaves for three days for all treatment except of Dursban which fed for 24 h.only, then the all fed on untreated leaves tell the end of experiment.

3.Samples preparation:

The 4th instar larvae samples were collected at 3; 7 days post treatment with LC_{25} and LC_{50} concentrations of each tested compound as well as untreated one. Samples were homogenized in distilled water using a Teflonhomogenizer, the homogenates werecentrifugedat 5000 rpm for 10min. at 5°C the supernatants were immediately assayed to determine the total soluble protein, the activities of aspartate amino transferase (Got) and a alanine amino transferase (GPT)and the carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes(Trehalase, Invertase and Amylase).

4. Determination of biochemical activities:

a- Carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes:

The method used to determine the activities of carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes (Trehalase, Invertase and Amylase) digesting sucrose Trehalase and starch, respectively, were illustrated by Ishaaya and Swiriski (1976). The free aldehydes group of glucose after starch, Trehalase and sucrose digestion was determined use 3, 5 dinitro salicylic acid regent.

b- Determination of total soluble protein:

Colorimetric determination of (TSP) total homogenized *S. littoralis* larvae was carried out as described by Bradford, M.M.(1976). Protein reagent was prepared by dissolving 100mg of Coomassie Brilliant blue G-250 in 50ml 85% (W/V) Phosphoric acid were added .The resulting solution was diluted to a final volume of 1 liter.

c- Transaminase enzymes determination:

Aspartate amino transferase (GOT) and alanine amino transferase (GPT) enzyme activities were determined calorimetrically according to method of (Reitmin and Frankal 1957).

Statistical analysis:

One way ANOVA was used to determine the significance of differences between, means of values obtained in the field experiment.

RESULTS AND DISSCUION

1-Field evaluation of tested compounds on cotton leafworm:

The obtained results in Table (2) showed that he initial effect as reduction percentages of the cotton leafworm with sprayed larvae in fields recommended concentration of the tested compound at 2013 season were 81.71, 83.61, 41.18, 7.53 and 11.09% after 3 days of spray with lufenuron,teflubezuron,indoxacarb,Kzoil and BT respectively, while it was 89.53% after one day for chlorpyrifose. As residual effect, the reduction percentages were 87.66,85.07,45.94,8.54 and 13.52% after 7days and were 88.93,86.78,53.00, 14.21 and 18.29% after 10 days forlufenuron ,teflubezuron, indoxacarb, Kz oil and B.thuringinsis , receptively,

recorded 87.66 and 86.38% forchlorpyrifosafter 7 and 10 days of application, respectively. The insecticide

chlorpyrifos recorded the highest reduction percentage of 87.74% while Kz oil recorded the lowest one 8.87%.

Table (2): Reduction	percentage of	some alternati	ve compounds	against cotto	n leafworm,	Spodoptera	littoralis
compared	withDursban	in cotton fields	during 2013	season.			

Tractmos	nta	Due count	Ini	tial	Res	idual	Mean	Annual maan	
Treatments		Pre-count	1day	3days	7days	10days	Mean	Annual mean	
Komatch	No.	121.25	-	17.93	17.08	22.56	19.82	19.19	
	%	-	-	88.93	86.17	81.71	8.94	85.60	
Nomolt	No.	147.61	-	20.20	22.78	25.05	23.92	22.68	
	%	-	-	86.78	85.07	83.61	83.84	85.15	
Betavant No.	No.	143.25	-	68.19	78.70	85.34	82.02	77.61	
	%	-	-	53.00	45.94	41.18	43.66	46.71	
KZ oil	No.	141.28	-	128.83	132.22	133.22	132.72	131.42	
	%	-	-	14.21	8.54	7.53	8.04	8.87	
Ductort	No.	136.97	-	113.75	120.53	123.78	122.16	119.35	
Protecto	%	-	-	18.29	13.52	11.09	12.32	14.30	
Deember	No.	165.81	17.78	-	20.91	22.83	19.35	20.51	
Dursban	%	-	89.38	-	87.66	86.53	88.52	87.74	
Control	No.	153.97	151.77	156.70	159.61	162.89	161.25	159.73	
F. test								**	
LSD 0.0	15							6.17	

During 2014 season, data in Table (3)showed that the initial effect as reduction percentages of cotton leafworm larvae after 3 days of field spraywere83.48,82.11,43.44,7.42and11.17% forlufenuro n, teflubezuron, indoxacarb, Kz oil and *B.thuringinsis*, respectively, while it was 88.39% for chlorpyrifose after one day of spray. The residual effect recorded after 7 and 10 days of application cleared that the chlorpyrifoserecorded highest reduction percentage of 87.06 & 88.36% followed descendingly by84.91,83.76,46.97,8.16 & 13.49% at 7 days and 83.48, 82.11,43.44,11.&.42% at10 days for lufenuron, teflubezuron, indoxacarb, *B.thuringinsis* and Kz oil, respectively; in the field population of the cotton leafworm larvae in sprayed fields. These results found in agreement with those of Mohamed *et al.* (2006); AL-Shannaf and Ammar (2011) and Barrania(2013).

Table (3): Reducti	on percentage of some	e alternative compound	s against cotton	leafworm, Spodoptera	littoralis
compar	ed with Dursban in co	otton fields during 201	4 season.		

Tro	atments	Pre-count	Ini	tial	Resi	dual	Mean	Annual mean
	atments	rie-count	1 day	3 days	7 days	10 days	Mean	Annual mean
natch	No.	147.66	-	19.09	22.67	24.73	23.70	22.16
Kon	Reduction %	117.00	-	86.75	84.91	83.48	84.49	85.04
Nomolt Komatch	No.	125.81	-	29.49	30.79	33.88	32.34	31.39
	Reduction %	-	-	84.45	83.76	82.11	82.94	83.44
Betavant	No.	-	-	83.86	93.88	97.80	95.84	91.85
Beta	Reduction %	-	-	52.62	46.97	43.44	45.21	47.67
KZ oil	No.	174.11	-	162.17	171.46	172.83	172.15	168.82
KZ	Reduction %	-	-	13.13	8.16	7.42	7.79	9.57
Protecto	No.	183.65	-	159.51	165.87	169.79	167.83	165.06
Prot	Reduction %	-	-	16.65	13.49	11.17	12.34	13.77
Dursban	No.	188.17	19.73	-	23.32	24.64	21.53	22.56
Dur	Reduction %	176.59	88.39	-	87.06	86.34	87.72	87.37
Control F. test	No.	186.77	187.97	189.42	191.80	193.81	192.91	191.68 **
LSD 0.05								6.16

The statistical analysis results clearedthat there are significant differences between Dursban, Komatch and Nomult ashigh efficacycompounds, Betavant as moderateefficacycompound and the lowest efficacy group,Kz oil and Btcompound, that as initial effect (LSD= 6.16) and as residual effect after 7 days (LSD=7.37). The same trend was noticed for general mean and residual mean with LSD = 6.46 and 6.16, respectively.

2-Laboratory trials: Biochemical responses of cotton leafworm, *S. littoralis* larvae to the tested compound:

The physiological changes of *S. littoralis*4th instar larvae assessed at 3 and 7 days after treatment with LC_{25} and LC_{50} concentrations of the tested compoundswere determined as, effects on the activities of carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes (Invertase, Trehalase and amylase) ,the total soluble protein concentration and transaminase(GOTandGPT) were determined.

a- carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes activities:

Data presented in table (4) indicated that, the all tested compounds were effected the activities of amylase, Invertase and Trehalase enzymes in the treated 4th instar larvae of S. *littoralis*.

• Invertase

In case of the effect of LC₂₅ concentration of tested compound on invertase activity in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae sampled after 3 days of treatment as SA and RA% in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest effect on Invertase was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (405.67±9.03 μ g glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded increase in RA% of 0.25% in compared with untreated larvae while the lowest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Nomult (204±6.91 μ g glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded reduction of -0.37% in compared with (325±5.209 μ g glucose / min / g. bwt days) for untreated larvae.

In the same trend, the result of LC_{50} treatment effect after 3 days cleared that the highest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (365.67±6.39 µg glucose / min / g.bwt days) recorded relative increase of 0.13% in compared with untreated larvae while the lowest was recorded with Nomult (154.67±2.23 µg glucose / min / g.bwt) recorded reduction of -0.53% in compared with 325±12.04 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days) for untreated larvae.

Table (4): Changes in invertase, trehalase and amylase enzymes activities in *S. littoralis* treated with multiple compounds and chemical pesticide

compounds and chemical pesticide										
		Inverta	se (Ug	Trehal	ase Ug	Amyla	ise Ug			
Treatments	Con. ppm	glucose/mir	/g.b.wt/days	glucose/1	nin/g.b.wt	glucose/r	nin/g.b.wt			
		3 days	7 days	3 days	7 days	3 days	7 days			
	25 SA	260.00±3.78	270.67±4.34	121.33±4.54	212.33±6.24	88.33±2.23	91.67±4.18			
	23 RA %	-0.20	0.09	-0.28	-0.07	0.11	-0.06			
Komatch	50 SA	212.00 ± 1.42	247±4.05	129.67±4.75	201.33±6.37	46.33±1.52	103.67±0.88			
	⁵⁰ RA %	-0.35	-0.01	-0.23	-0.12	-0.42	0.07			
	25 SA	204.00±6.91	23633±3.18	95.67±3.14	243.33±3.39	82.00±2.63	115.33±3.72			
	²³ RA %	-0.37	-0.05	-0.44	0.07	0.03	0.19			
Nomolt	50 _ SA	154.67 ± 2.23	244.00 ± 3.61	72.67±1.79	251.67 ± 5.79	57.33±3.20	104.67±3.85			
	³⁰ RA %	-0.53	-0.02	-8.57	0.10	-0.28	0.08			
	SA SA	312.67±4.96	256.33 ± 4.85	181±3.10	204.33±7.18	123.00±3.31	100.67±3.39			
	25 RA %	-0.04	1.33	0.07	-0.11	0.54	0.04			
Betavant	50 SA	310.67±.4.39.	250.00 ± 5.52	155.33±1.66	218.00±4.73	102.67±2.33	92.67±1.77			
	³⁰ RA %	-0.05	0.01	-0.001	-0.05	0.28	-0.05			
	25 SA	405.67±9.03	149.33±15.19	208.00 ± 6.08	145.33±3.49	89.00±1.25	77.00±4.05			
	23 RA %	0.25	-0.40	0.23	-0.37	0.11	-0.21			
KZoil	50 SA	365.67±6.39	147.33±7.43	222.33±7.26	112.33±6.24	105.33 ± 3.58	38.00±2.52			
	⁵⁰ RA %	0.13	-0.41	0.32	-0.51	0.32	-0.61			
	25 SA	279.67±7.65	236.00±6.04	166.33±2.89	210.00 ± 1.53	58.33±2.69	105.67±3.18			
Ductoato	23 RA %	-0.14	-0.06	-0.02	-0.08	-0.27	0.09			
Protecto	50 SA	242.33 ± 10.17	253.00 ± 7.52	104.00±346	247.33 ± 3.85	36.00±2.50	91.33±1.86			
	³⁰ RA %	-0.26	0.01	-0.39	-0.08	-0.55	-0.06			
	25 SA	246.33±5.63	179.67±1.86	149.00±9.44	279.67±7.23	92.00±1.441	64.33±2.73			
Dursban	25 RA %	-0.24	-0.20	-0.12	0.22	015	-0.34			
Duisvaii	50 SA	215.00±6.39	189.67 ± 6.07	154.67±6.55	258.67±4.10	93.00±3.88	43.00±3.52			
	⁵⁰ RA %	-0.34	-0.24	-0.09	0.13	0.16	-0.56			
Con	trol	325.00±12.09	249.67±11.85	169.00 ± 5.72	222.67±12.72	80.00±4.33	97.00±4.71			
SA-Specific										

SA= Specific activity

RA%= Relative concentration

Treatment – control RA%=×- _____ 100

The effect of LC_{25} concentration of tested compound on Invertase activity in treated and untreated 4^{th} instar larvae sampled at 7 days after treatment as SA and RA% in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest AS was recorded in larvae treated with Komatch (270.67 \pm 4.34 µg glucose / min / g.bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.09% in compared with untreated larvae. While the lowest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (149.33 \pm 15.19 µg glucose/min/ g.bwt days) recorded reduction of -0.40% in

Control

compared with 249.67 \pm 11.85 µg glucose / min / g.bwt days for untreated larvae.

In case of LC₅₀ treatment after 7days, The highest effect was recorded on larvae treated by Betavant (250±5.52 μ g glucose min g.bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.01% in compared with untreated ones, while the lowest effect was recorded with Kz 0il (147.33±7.43 μ g glucose / min / g.bwt days) recorded reduction of -0.41% in compared with 249.67±11.85 μ g glucose / min / g.bwt days for untreated larvae.

The results above found agree those of Ahmed, *et al.* (1990); Kandil, (2005); EL-Kordy, *et al.* (1995); and Gamil,*et al.*(2011).recorded that the Kz oil effected invertase activity in *S. littoralis.*

• Trehalase

Data in table (4) showed the effect of LC_{25} concentration of tested compound on trehalase activity in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae after 3 days as SA and RA% in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest effect on trehalase was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (208±6.02 µg glucose / min / g.bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.23% in compared with untreated larvae, while, the lowest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Nomult (95.67±3.14 µg glucose / min / g.bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.44% in compared with 169±5.72 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days for untreated larvae.

In the same trend, the results of LC_{50} treatment after 3 days cleared that the highest effect on Trehalase was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (169±5.72 µg glucose / min/ g bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.32% compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated use Nomult (72.67±1.79 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded reduction of -8.57% compared with for untreated larvae

The effect of LC₂₅ concentration of tested compound on Trehalase activity in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae sampled after 7 days of treatment as SA and RA% in treated larvae compared with untreated ones. The highest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Dursban (279.07±7.23 µg glucose/ min / g. bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.22% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Larvae treated with Larvae treated with Kz oil (145.33±3.49 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded reduction of -0.37% in compared with (222.57±12.72 µg glucose / min / g bwt days) for untreated larvae.

The results of LC_{50} treatment after 7 days revealed that the highest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Dursban (258.57±5.09 µg glucose/ min / g. bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.13% compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (112.33±6.24 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded reduction of -0.51% in compared with (222.57-+12.72 µg glucose/ min/ g. bwt days) for untreated larvae

The results of these trial found in agreement with those of Ayyangar and Rao (1990); Kandill (2000); Desuky, *et al.* (2005);Omar *et al.* (2005) and (Sabry and Khedr, 2014) .The IGRs and chlorpyrifoseeffected Trehalase activity in *S. littoralis*.

• Amylase

Data in table (4) showed the effect of LC₂₅ concentration of tested compound on amylase activity in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae after 3 days of treatment as SA and RA% in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest effect on amylase was recorded in larvae treated with Betavant (123±3.31 μ g glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.54% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Protecto (58.33±2.69 μ g glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded reduction of -27% in compared with (80.0±4.33 μ g glucose/min/ g. bwt days) for untreated larvae.

The results of LC₅₀ treatment after 3days showed that The highest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (105.33 \pm 3.58 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.32% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Komatch (46.33 \pm 1.52 µg glucose/min / g. bwt days) recorded reduction of -0.42% in compared with (80.0 \pm 4.33 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days) for untreated larvae

In regarding to the effect of LC₂₅ concentration of tested compound on amylase activity in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae tested at 7 days after treatment as SA and RA% in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. the highest SA on amylase was recorded in larvae treated with Nomult (115.3380.0±4.333.72 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days) recorded increase in RA% by 0.19% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest SA was recorded for larvae treated with Dursban (64.3380.0±4.333.43 µg glucose/ min/ g. bwt days) recorded reduction of -0.34% in compared with 97.0080.0±4.334.64 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days for untreated larvae .

In case of the results of LC_{50} treatment after 7 days, the highest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Nomult (104.67±3.80 µg glucose/ min/ g. bwt days) recorded increase in RA% 0.08% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (38±2.52 µg glucose/ min/ g. bwt days) recorded reduction of -0.61% in compared with 97.00±4.94 µg glucose / min / g. bwt days) for untreated larvae.

These results found in agreement with those of Wyatt (1957); Wiggles worth (1972);Nathon, *et al.* (2005) and EL-Sheikh, *et al.* (2013)stated that, the tested IGRs and Kz oil effected Amylase activity in *S. littoralis.*

b-Total soluble protein (TSP) assessment:

Data in Table (5) showed the effect of LC_{25} concentration of tested compound on TSP levels in treated and untreated 4thinstar larvae sampled after 3 days as specific concentration (SC)and relative concentration (RC%) in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest effect on TSP was recorded in larvae treated with Dursban (38.60±0.26 mg / g.bwt) recorded reduction of -0.18% in compared with untreated larvae while the lowest effect was recorded in

larvae treated with Betavant (21.20±0.56 mg/g.bwt) recorded increase of 45.18% in compared with 38.67mg/g.bwt for untreated larvae.

In thesame trend, the results of sampled larvae treated by LC₅₀ after 3 days cleared that the highest effect on TSP was recorded in larvae treated with Dursban (40.57±0.59mg/g. bwt) recorded increase of 5.17% in compared with untreated larvae while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Betavant (17.73±0.38mg/g. bwt) recorded increase of 54.15% in compared with 38.57±0.26mg/g.bwt for untreated larvae.

In case of the effect of LC_{25} concentration of the tested compoundon TSP levels in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae sampled after 7 days as SCand RC% in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest effect was recorded in larvae treated withProtecto (32.63±0.70mg/g. bwt) recorded increase of 4.82% in Table (5): Changes of total soluble protein levels in *S*.

compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (23.87±0.65mg/g.bwt) recorded increase of 23.32% in compared with 31.13mg/g.bwt) for untreated larvae.In the same trend the results of the effect on larvae treated with LC₅₀ treatment after 7 days cleared that, the highest effect on TSP was recorded in larvae treated with Protecto (33.37±0.54 mg/g.bwt) recorded increase of 7.20% in compared with untreated larvae while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Nomult (18.90±0.38mg/g.bwt) recorded increase of 39.29% in compared with 31.13±1.56 mg/g.bwt for untreated larvae that after 7 days of treatment with LC_{50} concentration. These results found agree those of EL-Kordy*et* al.(1995); Zidan*et* al.(1996);Desuky, et al.(2005);Gamilet al.(2011) andBasiouny, et al.(2016)thattested compounds effected TSP in S. littoralisand other insects.

Table (5): Changes of total soluble protein levels in S. littoralis treated with multiple compounds and chemical

ost ent	ned ter	Kom	atch	Nor	nolt	Beta	want	Kz	oil	Prot	ecto	Dur	s ban	
Days po treatme	Determi parame	25	50	25	50	25	50	25	50	25	50	25	50	control
3	SC		23.03 ± 0.58	34.17 ±0.38	31.20 ±0.39	21.20 ±0.56	17.73 ±0.38	35.90 ±0.80	36.30 ±0.74	2577 ±0.55	25.57 ±0.24			38.67
days	RC%	-18.46	-30.10	-11.64	-19.32	-45.18	-54.15	-7.16	-6.13					±0.85
7 days	SC	±0.20	± 0.61	± 0.38	± 0.55	± 0.87	± 0.65	± 0.65	± 0.65	± 0.70	± 0.54		± 1.21	31.13 ±1.56
	days 7	A B B 3 SC days RC% 7 SC	25 3 SC 31.53 3 SC 31.53 ± 0.59 8C% -18.46 7 SC 24.10 ±0.20	$\frac{3}{4} \frac{5}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{8}{2}$ $\frac{3}{\pm 0.59} \frac{23.03}{\pm 0.59} \pm 0.58$ RC% -18.46 -30.10 $\frac{7}{4} \frac{3}{24.10} \frac{24.10}{\pm 0.20} \pm 0.61$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						

SC=Specific concentration

RC% = Relative concentration Treatment – control

RC %=×- _____ 100

Control

c- Transaminase activities:

Data in table (5) showed the changes in GOT, GPT activities as the concentration of the formed pyruvate and the relative activity as a percentage of formed pyruvate for treated larvae in comparable with untreated ones. The obtained results cleared that the all tested compoundaffected the transaminase activities positively or negatively as follows:

• The effect on Aspartate amino transferase (GOT):

Data in table (6) showed the effect of LC₂₅ concentration of tested compound onGOT activity in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae sampled after 3 days of treatment as specific activity (SA) and relative activities (RA%) in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (2574.33 \pm 30.41u* 10³ g.bwt) recorded increase of 0.33% in compared with untreated larvae while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Betavant (1441.67 \pm 24.56 u* 10³ /g.bwt) recorded reduction of(-26.36%) in compared with (1957.67 \pm 29.19 u* 10³ g.bwt) for untreated larvae.

In the same trend the results of the affected treated larvae with LC_{50} treatment after 3 days cleared that, the highest effect was inspected in larvae treated with Kz oil (2305±7.88 u* 10³ g.bwt) recorded increase of 31.50% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with

Betavant (1266.67 \pm 4.25 u*10³ g.bwt) recorded reduction of -35.30% in compared with (1957.67 \pm 29.19 u *10³ g.bwt) for untreated.

In regarding to the effect of LC₂₅ concentration of the tested compound on GOT activities in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae sampled at 7 days after treatment as SA and RA% intreated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Komatch (1615 \pm 9.88 u * 10³ g. bwt) recorded increase in RA% by 9.86% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Dursban (832.57 \pm 19.40 u * 10³ g. bwt) recorded reduction of -43.36% compared with 1470 \pm 65.65 u* 10³ g.bwt) for untreated larvae.

In the same trend the results of LC_{50} treatment after 7 days cleared that, the highest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Komatch (1509±5.14 u * 10³ g.bwt) recorded increase in RA% by 2.65% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Dursban (601.00±9.55 u*10³ g.bwt) recorded reduction of -59.12% in compared with (1470±65.65 u*10³ g.bwt) for untreated larvae.

The obtained results found in agreement with those of Ahmed, *et al.* (1990); EL-Kordy, *et al.* (1995);Zidan, *et al.* (1995);Kandil (2005) and Omar, *et al.* (2005)that the mineral oil affected GOT activities in *S. Littoralis*

Treatments	Com		GOT (Ux 1	10^3 g. bwt)	GPT (Ux 2	10^3 g. bwt)
Treatments	Con	. ppm	3 days	7 days	3 days	7 days
	25	SA	1951.00±11.73	1615.00±9.88	711.33±5.20	502.33±7.23
	23	RA %	-0.34	9.86	16.42	9.84
Komatch	50	SA	2044.67±22.65	1509.00±5.14	667.33±12.79	454.67±7.37
	50	RA %	0.05	2.65	9.22	-0.58
	25	SA	1937.00±27. 04	1511.67±22.45	587.33±33.15	404.67±5.18
	23	RA %	-1.06	2.84	-3.87	-11.52
Nomolt	50	SA	1900.67±20.90	1482.00±10.52	637.33±14.63	322.67±4.66
	50	RA %	-2.91	0.82	4.31	-29.45
Betavant	25	SA	1441.67±24.56	980.00±30.59	397.67±2.77	395.00±7.27
	23	RA %	-26.36	-33.33	-34.92	-13.63
	50	SA	1266.67±4.25	1046.33±27.54	219.67±7.85	305.67±5.18
	50	RA %	-35.30	-28.82	-64.05	-33.16
	25	SA	2574.33±30.41	1553.33±19.19	384.00±13.91	256.67±12.19
	25	RA %	0.32	5.67	-36.66	-43.88
KZoil	50	SA	2305.00±7.88	1489.67±9.18	241.00±12.11	221.67±11.48
	50	RA %	31.50	1.16	-60.06	-51.53
	25	SA	2002.67±11.07	1526.67±16.73	636.33±7. 01	457.67±8.46
Protecto	23	RA %	0.18	3.39	4.15	0.08
FIOLECIO	50	SA	1863.33±56.90	1461.67±17.07	699.33±4.26	537±18.79
	50	RA %	-4.82	-0.06	14.46	17.42
	25	SA	1821.00±10.16	832.67±19.40	323.67±5.59	238.67±8.18
Dursban	23	RA %	-6.98	-43.36	-61.92	-47.81
Duisball	50	SA	1917.67±23.38	601.00±9.55	303.67±7.01	259.33±10.99
	50	RA %	-7.15	-59.12	-50.30	-43.30
	Control		1957.67±29.19	1470.00 ± 65.65	611.00±13.29	457.33±23.98

 Table (6):Changes of total soluble protein levels, GOT and GPT activities inS. littoralis treated with multiple compounds and chemical pesticide.

SA= Specific activity

RA%= Relative concentration

Treatment – control RA%=×- ______ 100

RA%=×- _____

Control

• -Alanine amino transferase (GPT)

Data in table (6) showed the effect of LC_{25} concentration of tested compound on GPT activity in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae sampled after 3 days of treatment as specific activity (SA) and relative activity (RA%) in relation to untreated ones .The highest effect on GPT was recorded in larvae treated with Komatch (711.33±5.20 u *10³g.bwt) recorded increase of16.42% incompared with untreated larvae, while the lowest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Dursban (323.60±5.39 u * 10³g.bwt) recorded reduction of -61.92% in compared with 611.00±13.29 u* 10³ g.bwt) for untreated larvae.

In the same trend, the results of LC₅₀ treatment after 3 days cleared that,the highest SA was recorded in larvae treated with Protecto (699.33±4.25 u * 10^3 g.bwt) recorded increase of 14.46% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest AS was recorded for Betavant (219.67±7.83 u * 10^3 g.bwt) recorded reduction of -64.05% in compared with (611±13.29 u* 10^3 g.bwt)SA for untreated larvae.

In regarding to the effect of LC_{25} concentration of tested compound on GPT activity in treated and untreated 4th instar larvae sampled after 7 days as SA andRA% in treated larvae in relation to untreated ones. The highest AS was recorded in larvae treated with Komatch (502.33±7.23 u *10³ g.bwt) recorded increasein RA% by 9.84% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded for larvae treated with Dursban (238.67 \pm 8.18 u *10³ g.bwt) recorded reduction of-47.81% in compared with (457.23 \pm 23.98 u *10³ g.bwt) for untreated larvae.

In the same trend the results of LC_{50} concentration after 7 days cleared that, the highest SA recorded in larvae treated with Protecto (537±18.79 u $*10^3$ g.bwt) recorded increase of 17.42% in compared with untreated larvae, while the lowest effect was recorded in larvae treated with Kz oil (221.67±11.48 u $*10^3$ g.bwt) recorded reduction of -51.53% in compared with (457.33±23.98 u $*10^3$ g.bwt) for untreated larvae. These results relatively in affinity with those of EL-Kordy, *et al.* (1995);Kandil (2005);Abou-Taleb,*et al.*(2015); and Hamadah, *et al.*(2016).The mineral oil effected GPT activity in *S. littoralis*.

REFERENCES

Abou-Taleb H.K., Zahran H. E. M. and Abir – Gad, A. (2015):Biochemical and physiological effects of Lufenuron Chlorfluazuron on Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.)(Lepidoptera:Noctuidae). J.of Entomol.,12(2):77-86.

- Ahmed, Y.M.; A.M.A. Mostafa and A. Shoukry(1990).Effect of chlorfluazuron on transaminase activity in larvae and pupae of S. *littoralis* (Boisd.).Med. Fac. Landbouww .Rijksaniv. Gent.,55(2b):621-627.
- AL-Shannaf, H. M. H. and Ammar, A. E. (2011): Several tools used to control cotton leafworm spodoptera littoralis (Boisd) and American Bollworm *Helicoverpaarmigera* (HUB) in peanut fields. Bull.Fac.Agic. Cairo Univ.,62: 503-510.
- AL-Shnnaf, H. M. H. ; Hala, M. M. andKasafy, H.S. (2012): toxic and biochemical effects of same bioinsecticides and IGRs on American Bollworm *Helicoverpaarmigera* (HUB) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in cotton Field. J. Biofertil. Biopestici.,3 (2): 1-6.
- Ayyangar, G. S. G. and Rao, P. J. (1990). Changes in heamolymph constituents of *Spodopteralitura* (Fabr.) under the influence of azadirachtin .Indian. J. Entomol.,52 (1): 69 – 83.
- Barrania (2013):Antifeedant,GrowthInhibtory and Toxicity effects of Chlorantraniliprole, Thiamethoxam and Novaluron the cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera : Noctuidae) In cotton fields. Egypt.J.Agric.Rec., 91(3):903-911.
- Basiouny, K. Ghoneim ,M. Tanani ,Kh. Hamadah, and H.Waheeb (2016). Disturbed protein content in Egyptian cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.)(Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) by some novel chitin synthesis inhibitors.Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci., 3(3):1-12.
- Bradford, M.M. (1976): A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein- dye binding. Anal.Biochem.,72:248-254.
- Desuky, W. M. H.; Kheder, M. M. A.; Youssif, K. S. I.and EL-Shakaa, S. M. A. (2005): Field and biochemicalstudies on some compound against cotton leafworm *Spodopteralittoralis* (Boisd).Egypt J.Agric. Res.,83 (3): 1087-1106.
- El-Kordy, M.W.; A.I. Gadallah, M.G.; Abbas and S. A. Mostafa.(1995).Effect of pyriproxyfen, flufenoxuron and teflubenzuron on some biochemical aspects of *Spodopteralittoralis*. Al-AzharJ. Agric.Res., 21:223-238. EL-Sheikh, T.A.A.,HebaS.Rafea,A.M.EL-AasarandS. Ali (2013) : Biological an Biochemical effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis*, *Serratiamarcescens* and teflubenzuron on cotton leafworm. Egypt. Agric. Res., 911(4): 1327-1345.
- Camil, W. E; Mariy, F. M.; Youssef, L. A. and Halim, S. M. A. (2011): Effect of indoxacarb on some biological and Biochemical aspects of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd) larvae.Ann. Agric. Sci.,56 (2): 137-142.
- Hamadah, Kh.;GhoneimK.; Anani M.; Basiouny A. and Waheeb H. (2016): Deteriorated acid and alkaline phosphatase activities in certain tissues of *Spodoptera littoralis*(Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) by some novel chitin synthesis Inhibitors.Int. J. Adv. Res. Biol. Sci.,4(2):611-624.

- Henderson, C. F. and Tilton, E. W. (1955): tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ.Entomol., 48:157-161.
- Ishaaya, I.andSwiriski,E. (1976) : Trehalase,invertase andamylase activities in the black *scale insect,Sissetiaoleae* and their relation to host adaptability. J. Insc. Physiol., (16):1025-1029.
- Kandil, M.A.; T.R.A. El-Zaher.; and A. M. Rashad (2005):Some biological and biochemical effects of chitin synthesis inhibitors on pink bollworm *Pectinophoragossypiella* (Saunders) .Ann .Agric. Sci. Moshtohor. 43(4): 1991-2002.
- Khatter, N. A. and Abuldahb, F. F. (2010):Effect of*Ricinuscomnus,Brassica nigra* and mineral oil kemesol on some biochemical aspects of larvae stage of *Sodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae).EgyptSoci. ofparasitol.,40 (1): 151-158.
- Mohamed, S. A.; Mousa, G. M. and EL-Sisi, A. G. (2006):Pesticidal efficiency of the mineral oil, CAPL-2 alone or mixed with actellic against cabbage aphid *Brevicorynebressicae* L and cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) attacking cabbage plants. J. Agric. Res.,84 (1): 75-81.
- Nathan, S. S.; P.G. Chung and M. Kadarkarai (2005):Combined effect of biopesticides on the digestive enzymatic profiles of *Cnaphalocrocismedinalis* (Guenee) (the rice leaffolder) (Insecta : Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 64(3): 382-389.
- Omar,R.E.M.;Desuky,W.M.H.;Darwish,A.A.A.andAme r,A.E.A.(2005):Biochemical and histological effects of chinmixspintor and Biorepelcompounds on larvae of pink and spiny Bollworms. Ann. Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 44 (1): 279-289.
- Pluschkell, U. A. R.; Weintraub, H.P. G., andIshaaya,W. (1998): DPX-MPO62- a potent compound for controlling the Egyption cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.).Pestic. Sci.,54: 85-90.
- Reitman, S. M. andFrankel, S. (1957): A colorimetric method for determination of serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase. J. Clin. Path., (28): 56-63.
- Sabry,H.M.andKhedr,M.A.(2014):Biochemical and Histological variation induced by IGRs in*Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) Glob.J.Environ.Sci.Toxicol.,1(2):163-178.
- Wyatt, G. R. (1967): The biochemistry of sugars and polysaccharides in insects. Adv. Insect Physiol., 4: 287-360.
- Zidan, Z. H.; Moawad, G. M.; Gadallah,A. I. and EL-Swerki, F. E. (1996): Biochemical aspects of the cotton leafworm larvae *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) as affected by safenontoxic insecticides. Proc. 6th Conf. of Agric.Devel. Res. 17-19 Dec. Cairo, Ann. of Agric. Sci., 233-244.

الت أثيرات السامة والبيوكيميانية لـ بعض المركبات البديلة والموصى بها على دودة ورق القطن. القطن(Noctuide:Lepidoptera littoralis) (Boisd.) في حقول القطن. على على عبد الهادى⁽¹⁾، محمد محمد القاضى⁽¹⁾، حاتم محمد حاتم الشناف^(٢)، سلوى السعيد نجم⁽¹⁾ و محمد على سلمى سلامة^(٢)

١- قسم المبيدات كلية الزراعة جامعة المنصورة.

۲ معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات.

أجريت التجارب الحقلية والمعملية لتقييم إثنين من منظمات النمو الحشرية (ليفينرون والتفلوبنزيرون) ومركب مانع للتغذية (اندوكساكارب) والزيت المعدني (كزدأول) والمركب البكتيري (باسيلس ثيوروجينزيز) والمركب الفوسفوري العضوي (كلوربيريفوس) وذلك ضد يرقات دودة ورق القطن .

و أجريت التجارب الحقلية خلال موسمي ٢٠١٣ – ٢٠١٤ في منطقة كفر صقر – بمحافظة الشرقية – مصر، وأوضحت النتائج أن مركب الكلوربيريفوس أعطى أعلى خفض فوري (٨٩.٣٨ ، ٣٩،٨٩%) وكذلك متوسط أثر متبقى (٨٩.٥٢ ، ٨٧.٧٢%) ومتوسط عام (٨٩.٧٤، ٣٧،٣٧%) على دودة ورق القطن خلال موسمي الدراسة على الترتيب.

وبالنظر إلى نتائج المعاملات المعملية والمتمثلة في النشاط البيوكيمائي لليرقات المعاملة، فإن جميع المركبات المختبرة سببت اضطرابات في الأنشطة البيوكيميائية. سجل أعلى تأثير على تركيز البروتينات الكلية (٥٢. ٤٠ ملّى جرام / جرام وزن الجسم ليرقات العمر الرابع المعاملة بالتركيز LC₅₀من مركب الكلوربيريفوس وذلك بعد ٣ أيام من المعاملة بينما سجلت أعلى نسبة خفض في تركيز البروتينات الكلية (- ٢٠، ٢٥%)لليرقات المعاملة بمركبة الإندوكسكارب بعد ٣ أيام أيضاً مقارنة بالبرقات الغير معاملة.

كما أدت المعاملة بالتركيز ات المختبرة (LC25) و (LC50) لجميع المركبات اضطر ابات في نشاط الإنزيمات الناقلة لمجموعة الأمين في البرقات المعاملة حيث سجل أعلى تأثير على GOT (٣٣ ٢٥٧٤ وحدة دولية في ١٠ من وزن الجسم) للبرقات المعاملة بتركيز LC25 للزيت المعدني وذلك بعد ثلاث ايام من المعاملة بينما سجلت أعلى خفض في النشاط النسبي (- ٤٣.٣٦%) للبرقات المعاملة بنفس التركيز لمركب الكلوريريفوس وذلك بعد ٧ أيام من المعاملة.

سجل أعلى تأثير على نشاط GPT (٢. ف ± ٧١١.٣٣ وحدة دولية ١٠ جرام وزن جسم) للبرقات المعاملة بمركب الليفينيرون وبالتركيز LC₂₅ وذلك بعد ثلاث أيام من المعاملة ، بينما سجلت أعلى نسبة خفض للنشاط النسبي (- ١٤.٥٠%) لليرقات المعاملة بتركيز LC₅₀ من مركب الإندوكسكارب وذلك بعد ثلاث أيام من المعاملة أيضاً. مقارنة باليرقات الغير معاملة.

وبخصوص تأثير المركبات المختبرة على الإنزيمات المحللة للكربو هيدرات كانت ٩.٠٣ ± ٩.٠٣ (لمركبات المختبرة على الإنزيمات المحللة للكربو هيدرات كانت ٩.٠٣ ± ٩.٠٣ (تركيز LC₅) و الاندوكساكارب (تركيز LC₂₅) و ذلك بعد ثلاث أيام من المعاملة ، على الترتيب. وإختلف النشاط النسبى عن ذلك حيث سجل أعلى خفض للنشاط النسبى – ٥.٠ ، ٤٠.٠ ، -٢١.٠ % لليرقات المعاملة بتركيز LC₅₀ لمركب التيفلوبنزورون وذلك بعد ثلاث أيام والتركيز LC₂₅ للفلاس المركب بعد ثلاث أيام من المعاملة بتركيز LC₅₀ و الأخيرة النشاط النسبى – ٥.٠ ، المعاملة النسبى المعاملة بتركيز عام المعاملة بتركيز عن المعاملة بتركيز المعاملة بتركيز المعاملة بتركيز المعادي المعاملة بتركيز المعاملة بتركيز عمق النشاط النسبى – ٥.٠ ، المعاملة النسبى المعاملة المعاملة بتركيز معاملة بتركيز مع المعاملة بالزيت المعادين ورون وذلك بعد شعة أيام والتركيز المالين المركب بعد ثلاث أيام من المعاملة أيضاً وكانت الأخيرة الليرقات المعاملة بالزيت المعدني بتركيز المعاملة بعد سبعة أيام وذلك الثلاث أنزيمات على الترتيب. ونه الم